

THE DOCUMENT COMPANY

XEROX

FACSIMILE Urgent for Delivery

FAX RECEIVED

APR 15 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

TO: *Examiner Hoai-An D. Nguyen*

FROM: *David E. Henn*

Fax: *703-872-9318*

Phone: *585-423-4299*

Fax: (585) 423-5240

Date: *April 15, 2003*

Pages to follow (including cover sheet): ^{*2854*} *3*

MESSAGE:

U.S. appen. No. 09/918,760 (our D/A1143)

- Response

This facsimile message may contain information which is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable laws and is intended only for the use of the individual named above and others who have been specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of the communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify immediately by telephone the intended recipient, or Gail McMillan (585) 423-1235. Xerox will reimburse you for your telephone expense.



Attorney Docket N . D/A1143

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors: Steven R. Moore, et al

Application No.: 09/918,760

Group: 2854

Filed: 08/01/2001

Examiner: Hoai-An D. Nguyen

Title: BACKLASH REDUCTION

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

FAX RECEIVED

APR 15 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to Group Art Unit 2854, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D. C. 20231, on

April 15, 2003
(Date of transmission)

Gail M. McMillan

Gail M. McMillan
(Signature)

#3
4/17/03
Jen

AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION
MAILED ON 15 JANUARY 2003

Please enter this response to the Office Action mailed 15 January 2003 into the file of the U.S. Patent Application identified above. The Applicants request reconsideration and allowance of the claims.

REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected to all claims under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103. Applicants traverse all rejections.

In particular, the Examiner rejected claims 1 through 12 and 14 through 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Narita. Applicant respectfully submits that Narita has little to do with the claimed invention. Narita discloses a plurality of gear trains selectively actuated by an electromagnetic clutch assembly. There is no disclosure of advancing paper short of a particular point and then finally advancing, as by incrementally moving the paper in much smaller steps, to the destination as claimed, for example, in applicant's independent claims 1, 9, 16, and 24. Further,